

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,473	01/28/2004	Satoshi Ida	Q79614	9428	
7590 06/16/2005 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
			MILLER, CARL STUART		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
··· •······· <b>g</b> ·····, =			3747		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP
----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/765,473	IDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carl S. Miller	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/01/04</u> .	6) Other:	account (i 10°102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,473

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 8-11 and 15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coha ('644) in view of Imaeda ('995).

Coha teaches the two-chamber fuel tank of the claims including a fuel strainer (88) used as part of a pick-up for the jet pump in the main chamber.

Imeada teaches a fuel tube made of a resin and including alternating bellows portions and straight portions. Note that the claim does not require that no other portions exist, only that bellows and straight or non-variable portions exist. The tube of Imaeda is made of a resin.

It would have been obvious to construct the tube (86) of Coha as taught by Imeada because the latter taught that this structure could be used to both dampen pressure waves <u>and</u> to fit the tube into a particular space (such as over hump (16)).

Claims 2-4 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coha and Imeada as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Fujiwara.

Fujiwara teaches using a quick connect fuel fitting on a bellows-type fuel pipe from an in-tank pump. Since there is no disclose otherwise, the fitting would be rotatable, albeit somewhat resistive (but not locked <u>against</u> rotation). The use of an L-shaped connection would have been simply a matter of creating the L-shaped exit

Art Unit: 3747

shown leaving (88) in Coha as a connection rather than a portion of flexible conduit (86).

Applicant's arguments filed April 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the applicant has corrected the language of Claims 1 and 10 in an appropriate manner and thus the rejection under 35 USC 112 has been withdrawn. Applicant has added language to Claims 1 and 10 to state more specifically that the bellows sections are being used to conform to the shape of the fuel tank. Unfortunately, (as noted in the original rejection) Imeada teaches the use of the bellows sections for the purpose of fitting into a particular space, and this is what applicant is still claiming. Applicant does not really address this argument in his response and therefore the original rejection must stand.

Finally, applicant notes that the Imeada reference does include a third type of section, but he does not point out why this would make it unobvious to use the bellows sections to conform to the shape of the tank as claimed. Also, applicant has elected not to claim that this pipe contains only two types of sections.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3747

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry YUEN, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Mille:
Primary Examine: